



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
Washington, D.C. 20231  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/004,142	11/14/2001	Georg Ockenfuss	102.01	8535

30480 7590 02/11/2003

EDWARD S. SHERMAN  
5698 EAGLE ROCK CT.  
SANTA ROSA, CA 95409

[REDACTED]  
EXAMINER

LAVARIAS, ARNEL C

[REDACTED]  
ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

2872

DATE MAILED: 02/11/2003

5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Office Action Summary**

Application No.	10/004,142	
Examiner	OCKENFUSS ET AL.	
Arnel C. Lavaras	Art Unit 2872	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --  
**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

**Status**

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_.
- 2a) This action is FINAL.      2b) This action is non-final.
- 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

**Disposition of Claims**

- 4) Claim(s) 1-28 is/are pending in the application.
  - 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
  - 5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
  - 6) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are rejected.
  - 7) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
  - 8) Claim(s) 1-28 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

**Application Papers**

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.  
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.
- 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

**Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120**

- 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
  - a) All
  - b) Some \*
  - c) None of:
    1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
    2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
    3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
  - a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

**Attachment(s)**

- |   |   |
|---|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)                              | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). _____. |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)          | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _____. | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____.                                   |

**DETAILED ACTION**

***Election/Restrictions***

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - I. Claims 1-15, drawn to a process for making a multilayer interference filter having substantially reduced net stress including the step of depositing a removable multilayer interference filter having a total thickness of greater than 8 microns over the first substrate or having a net stress greater than 50 MPa over the first substrate, classified in Class 427, subclasses 108 and 162.
  - II. Claims 16, 18, 19, 22-28, drawn to an optical filter assembly and process for making an optical filter assembly, and a method of separating optical communication signal channels, including the steps of providing at least one optical waveguide, classified in Class 359, subclass 580.
  - III. Claim 17, drawn to a multilayer optical interference filter having at least two optical cavities, a dielectric reflector stack, and a central region that is unsupported by a substrate and substantially free of stress, classified in Class 359, subclass 590.
  - IV. Claims 20-21, drawn to a multilayer optical interference filter substantially free of stress and having a central wavelength shift of less than 2 pm/deg C over a temperature range of 0-70 deg C, classified in Class 359, subclass 582.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Art Unit: 2872

2. Inventions I and II/III/IV are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case, the products claimed (i.e. Inventions II/III/IV) can be made by a materially different process, such as by lamination.
3. Inventions II and III and IV are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, subcombination II has separate utility in a combination without the particulars of subcombination III or IV, subcombination III has separate utility in a combination without the particulars of subcombination II or IV, and subcombination IV has separate utility in a combination without the particulars of subcombination II or III. See MPEP § 806.05(d).
4. If Invention I is elected, then restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - A. Claims 1-10, drawn to a process for making a multilayer interference filter having substantially reduced net stress including the step of depositing a removable multilayer interference filter having a total thickness of greater than 8 microns over the first substrate, classified in Class 427, subclass 108.
  - B. Claims 11-15, drawn to a process for making a multilayer interference filter having substantially reduced net stress including the step of depositing a

removable multilayer interference filter having a net stress greater than 50 MPa over the first substrate, classified in Class 427, subclass 162.

5. Inventions IA and IB are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, subcombination IA has separate utility in a combination without the particulars of the other subcombination IB. See MPEP § 806.05(d).
6. If Invention II is elected, then restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - A. Claims 16, 22-128, drawn to an optical filter assembly and process for making an optical filter assembly, classified in Class 359, subclass 580.
  - B. Claim 19, drawn to a method of separating optical communication signal channels, including the steps of providing at least one optical waveguide, classified in Class 385, subclass 27.
7. Claim 18 link(s) inventions IIA and IIB. The restriction requirement between the linked inventions is subject to the nonallowance of the linking claim(s), Claim 18. Upon the allowance of the linking claim(s), the restriction requirement as to the linked inventions shall be withdrawn and any claim(s) depending from or otherwise including all the limitations of the allowable linking claim(s) will be entitled to examination in the instant application. Applicant(s) are advised that if any such claim(s) depending from or including all the limitations of the allowable linking claim(s) is/are presented in a continuation or divisional application, the claims of the continuation or divisional

application may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application. Where a restriction requirement is withdrawn, the provisions of 35 U.S.C. 121 are no longer applicable. *In re Ziegler*, 44 F.2d 1211, 1215, 170 USPQ 129, 131-32 (CCPA 1971). See also MPEP § 804.01.

8. Inventions IIA and IIB are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, subcombination IIA has separate utility in a combination without the particulars of the other subcombination IIB. See MPEP § 806.05(d).
9. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
10. A telephone call was made to Edward S. Sherman (707-579-0580) on 1/31/03 and 2/4/03 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).
11. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in

Art Unit: 2872

the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Arnel C. Lavarias whose telephone number is 703-305-4007. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30 AM - 5 PM.

The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-7722 for regular communications and 703-308-7722 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1782.



Arnel C. Lavarias  
February 6, 2003

